



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

SEP 06 2005

Director's Office  
Group 3700

Timothy J. Zarley  
Zarley Law Firm  
400 Locust Street, Suite 200  
Des Moines, Iowa 50309

In re Application of  
William T. Ball  
Serial No. : 10/674,862  
Filed : September 30, 2003  
For : **METHOD AND MEANS FOR AN OVERFLOW ASSEMBLY TO BATHTUBS AND  
THE LIKE**

: DECISION ON PETITION  
: TO MAKE SPECIAL  
: (INFRINGEMENT)

This is a decision on the petition under C.F.R. § 1.102(d) filed November 22, 2004, to make the above-identified application special. The petition requests that the above-identified application be made special under the procedure set forth in M.P.E.P § 708.02, item II: Infringement.

MPEP 708.02 states that a Petition to Make Special based on Infringement must have the following: (1) the appropriate petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h); (2) a statement by the assignee, applicant, or attorney alleging: (A) that there is an infringing device or product actually on the market; (B) that a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device or product with the claims of the application has been made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed; and (C) that he or she has made a careful and thorough search of the prior art, or has good knowledge of the prior art, and has sent a copy of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims.

The petition filed November 22, 2004, includes all of the requirements above and, therefore, the petition is **GRANTED**.

The examiner is directed (1) to make an interference search for possible interfering applications; (2) to promptly examine this application out of turn; and (3) if any interfering application is discovered, to examine such application simultaneously and state in the first official letter of such application that it is being taken out of turn because of a possible interference.

Petitioner is advised that this application will continue to be special, throughout its entire prosecution and pendency, including interference and appeal, if any, only if petitioner makes a prompt *bona fide* effort, in response to each Office action, to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to conduct an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

Richard A. Bertsch, Director  
Technology Center 3700  
Phone: (571) 272-3750  
rt/ 9/1/05